

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. HA680A

08/833,172

BURTON RODNEY

P 0 BOX 4000

04/04/97

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY

PRINCETON NJ 08543-4000

ROBL

HM12/0511

EXAMINER

BERCH, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

05/11/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

08/833,172

Robl

Mark L. Berch

Group Art Unit 1611



	EFENI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Mar 17, 1999</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plicant t is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 20, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):
	X wi	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	☐ wi	Il not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO ²	TE:
	(CZ)	
		plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
		plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection.
	<u>Im</u>	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection.
	<u>Im</u>	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	Newly	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a sete, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Newly separa	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a late, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly separa	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a sete, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Newly separa	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a late, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly separa The arfor all	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a late, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly separator The after all the Extension	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a late, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	Newly separator The arthe Ex	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. To proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a late, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition towance because: If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by taminer in the final rejection. Imposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Newly separator all the arthe Extension purchase to the control of	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a late, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition towance because: If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by traminer in the final rejection. It proposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): It is allowed:
	Newly separa The arthe Ex For pu Claims	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a late, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition towance because: If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by taminer in the final rejection. If proposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): If allowed:
	Newly separator all the arthe Extended Claims Claims	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because: ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by raminer in the final rejection. Imposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Is allowed: So objected to: 8 and 15
	Newly separator all the arthe Extended Claims Claims	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a afte, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. If idavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because: If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by taminer in the final rejection. In proposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): It is allowed: It is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): It is sobjected to: 8 and 15 It is rejected: 1, 6, 7, and 12-14
	Newly separator all the Extension Claims Claims The property Note to the Intension of the I	proper Markush rejection and points 1 and 5 of the 35 USC 112 rejection. The proposed or amended claims

ART UNIT 1611